

MAR 05 2007

Application No. 10/643,164

Docket No.: 102323-0130

REMARKS


This reply is submitted in response to the final Office Action dated January 4, 2007 and the telephonic interview with the Examiner on March 5, 2007. The amendments above and remarks below address the issues raised in the Office Action, and thereby place the application in condition for allowance.

Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesy of the telephonic interview on March 5, 2007, in which the Examiner indicated that an amendment to the claims suggesting a benefit of the claimed invention would overcome the 35 U.S.C. §101 rejection over claims 60 and 61. As noted above, Applicants amend claim 60 to recite that the computer system of the claim produces the correct ordering of transform outputs with no need to perform an additional bit-reversal ordering pass. Support for the amendment can be found, for example, on page 5 of the specification.

In view of the above amendment, Applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: March 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 
David J. Powsner
Registration No.: 31,868
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP
World Trade Center West
155 Seaport Boulevard
Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2604
(617) 439-2717
(617) 310-9717 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant

1609536.1